

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

	READ TO A	Address: COM	MISSIONER OF PATEI	NTS AND TRADEMARKS
SERIAL NUMBER FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLIC	ANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
06/819/141 01/15/86	DAVIS		B U-56	531
LESTER HORMTIZ C/O LADAS & PARRY 26 WEST 61ST STREET		,	RIE DMAN S	EXAMINER
NEW YORK, NY 10023		,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	;		DATE MAILED!	/1.0/86 ≪
This is a communication from t	the examiner in charge of y	our application.	•	
COMMISSIO	NER OF PATENTS AND I	TRADEMARKS		
This application has been examined	Responsive to cor	mmunication filed on	This ac	tion is made final.
shortened statutory period for response trailing to respond within the period for res	o this action is set to expi	ire 3 month(s), 0	_ days from the date of	
art I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHME  L Nerice of References Cited by  Notice of Art Cited by Applicar  Information on How to Effect D.	NT(S) ARE PART OF THI Examiner, PTO-892. nt, PTO-1449	S ACTION:  2. Notice re Particular de la Notice of in	atent Drawing, PTO-948. formal Patent Applicatio	
art II SUMMARY OF ACTION				
1. Claims	1-7		are pend	ing in the application.
Of the above, claims		<del></del>	are without	drawn from consideration.
2. Claims		•		
3. [ ] Claims				·
4. Ctaims		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	are rejec	ted.
5. [ ] Claims	•			
6. [ ] Claims		ar	e subject to restriction o	r election requirement.
7. This application has been filed matter is indicated.	with informal drawings wh	ich are acceptable for examina	tion purposes until such	time as allowable subject
8. [ ] Allowable subject matter having	; been indicated, formal dra	awings are required in respons	e to this Office action.	
9. [ ] The corrected or substitute draw		on	These drawings are	acceptable;
10. [ ] The [ ] proposed drawing corre has (have) been [ ] approved				on
11. The proposed drawing correction the Patent and Trademark Offic corrected. Corrections <u>MUST</u> b EFFECT DRAWING CHANGES'	e effected in accordance w			
12. Acknowledgment is made of the	claim for priority under 35	U.S.C. 119. The certified cop	by has [] heen receive	not been received
been filed in parent applica				
13. Since this application appears to accordance with the practice un			, prosecution as to the m	erits is closed in

EXAMINER'S ACTION

14. 🗀 Other

Serial No. 819141

Art Unit 125

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

"Diagnosing" should be deleted from the claims. Such has nothing to do with treating. This point was telephonically discussed with Mr. John Richards on March 20, 1986.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) and (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Chem. Abstract references.

819141

Art Unit 125

Alzheimer's disease.

-3-

The art clearly teaches activities for the instant agent that would have value in treating effects of

Hooly Their

Friedman:tgh

A/C 703

557-3920

4-4-86

Group Art Unit 123